P. 7. 20-97

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. (1894-95)

191 .

(L-R)

Later references.

File No. 88-94

Serial Nos. 1-7.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-7.

In ~~Part~~ B for April 1898

SUBJECT. re

Appeal of Melagi Sigappa, for the Shanbhogi office of Kondapur Patila in Halkere Taluk, Chitaldrug District.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 - 28-1-19

Indexed

Compared

Appeal No 32 of 1894-95

Appellant
Respondents.
Relying upon
1. Girijappa
2. Krishnappa
3. Siddappa.

Appeal against the Municipal Deputy
Crime of Soliciting appointing 1st Respo
in the District Shanbhogi of Kondapur Fi
under Malkhed Taluk

List of papers

(1) Office Note
(2) Deputy Commissioner's order
(3) Official Memorandum calling for record
(4) Appeal petition with statement
(5) By Commissioner appealed against

Perused the order
about the recovery
Shanbhogie Minors
Respondents
What stand
3/6/95

Pet. Br.

3

My dear Sir,

I trust you will pardon me a moment's intrusion when you are so very busy - The matter is pressing and as Mr Rama-Swami Iyer is now here Mr Rajahar is urgent that the Dist Judge has refused to grant any more adjs.

The effect of the Dy Comrs
settlement is to give Govinjappa an additional
charge & thus place him
in charge of two circles, viz
the one which he had already
and the other which was
vacated by Veillala Nayaka-
ns transl
his proceedings is sent
in the last communication with
the Shantapa for one charge
which contemplates
the Patti Rules - Before final
are passed
orders, it is necessary to
ascertain whether the villages
of these two charges form one
block & whether they can
be managed by one Shantapa
present
The revenues of all the villages
must also be stated.

Both the Patty & his son
Bheemsanagya have each
a charge registered in their
names. It is
not reasonable to ask for more
than the present that Seenappa an
admittedly incompetent person
should be registered as Bheemsanagya
the Patti duties be performed by
him alone mentioned Bheemsenagya
is not in accordance with rules -
The Patti cannot moreover be
divided between the Officiator
& his other Hissedars -

Is there not a member
of this family who has al-

LR 88-94-1

(General Serial No. 32.)

1894 (4) (1)

No. 453

Name of Petitioner. Shivabhope Malappa Jaji
[illegible]

Village. Chikkalli

Taluk. Belgaum

District. Belgaum

Date of Arzi. 8-8-94

Date of Receipt. 8-8-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Two Civil Kabulayats

Subject to Council
Re Court
Stamp Judgment
2 Jan 95

Abstract.

1 The original Inamdars Shivabhope Malappa Jaji & Rachappa Malappa Jaji & Basappa Malappa Jaji & Sidramappa Malappa Jaji & Basappa Malappa Jaji & Sidramappa Malappa Jaji & Basappa Malappa Jaji & Sidram

with this request.

Yours &c

(signed) K. Seshadri Iyer.

9

4. as the said Kautini Rao & his wives (issues)
behind him, the petitioner & brothers (his wives)
are legal heirs to the Maidhoop
of Nowlapur Shirka - their ancestral property.
The present Collector opposed me
in a question of the Hereditariness
profession, but all for the said Shirka.
This is clear from the Collector's letter
to the Deputy Commissioner.
5. Kautini Rao had no issue to [illegible] the
Estate and being under the Tuteloge Court
There is no proof [illegible]
and even if he had married, these
are no grounds to dispossess the petitioners
- their brothers of their just & great
share to be made for separate family.
6. The Deputy Commissioner in Rejoinder
that his Court should go to Defence
Estate and decide finally on the ground
that the other two families of Pattanagessa
& Jeenagessa professed Christianity.
7. The petitioner has in his Rejoinder
the Shirka of Nowlapur is family religious
institution, but there is no difference
of the other Hereditaries.
8. The second Shirka is of Thimmagessa's
family & not those descendants of
Thimmagessa's grandson Thimmagessa
or it.
9. The Deputy Commissioner was right
in deciding those of this Court
not to go to the ground that they
were other Shirkas

10.

the first and order to
Amsterdam being first
and sent to me from
Inspruck. That very
I paid the note after
the cheque and got it
in three or three days
ever since then I have
been waiting for the
Gentleman and the
that I have heard
about him is from your
For your perusal I send
the cheque and the
which have been lying
with me. A few days
ago I thought I might

Two rupees
Stamp affixed

14

COURT FEE
TWO RUPEES

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 453 OR
DATE 8-8-94

453
Cover

I

II

III

IV

peace and he told me
that certain terms, he
been agreed to between
the Frontiers. In accordance
with that agreement
agreement. Nicholas immediately
wrote me a letter and
enclosed a receipt
for the money that
over to Blanchard. By
that could be done, and
almost million a day
which it was necessary
to me that there was
no settlement out of
I could not therefore take
any further action. The

Bangalore
8th August 1994

Mukherjee
Barrister-at-Law

Ex 610

8-11-94

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

LR 88-94-92

The accompanying Petition No. 453

dated 8th August 94 received at the

Dewan's Office from Shanbhogue of Cowrawalli, Kolar Dist,

appealing against order of the Deputy-Commissioner directing the Shanbhogue to cede the Patelship of Nandagiri Pirka

is forwarded, together with its two enclosures, to the Deputy-Commissioner

Chairperson directing for Enquiry of the Entire Proceedings relating to the case

The Petition and its enclosures should be returned

By Order,

26/10

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
24 Aug 1894.

8/11.

26/10

M.G.C.P. Bangalore.—3-5-94.—1,000.

Draft for approval

Proceedings of the first of August

(4)

Read:

(1) Petition of appeal No. 155/A.32 of 1894-95 presented by Mela-gerimpha of Chittambi, Talukdar of Salur, appealing against the order of the Deputy-Commr, Vizagapatam, dt, directing the appointment of 1st Respondent Thirupha as the recent Shanbhoga of Konda-kur Firka in the Salur Taluk

(2) The records received with docket No. 155/365 of 95-96 from the Deputy Commr, Vizagapatam

(3) Order thereon No. 2661 P.F. 20-97 dt. 7-8-97

The effect of the Deputy Commr's settlement is to give Thirupha additional charge and the place runs in charge of two circles, viz the one which he had already and the other which was created by Circular Proceedings. This proceeding is not in strict

LR 88-94-4

Inspection

91-51

and - whether they are grateful or not - you certainly will have the satisfaction of having done your duty & would perhaps save yourself the vexation of which you complain. If you can arrange to have the annuities paid at Mysore or Bangalore - minus the cost of getting the money from Yelandur, if you choose to charge it, but free of cost would add to the grace and dignity of the arrangement — I believe it would be satisfactory to yourself and the recipient would have to be specially grateful to you. When the year is closed the various amounts can be placed at current deposit at Madras Bank either at Mysore or Bangalore at your own Credit of course and whenever the individual communicants apply to you you can give them cheques - This will save them the trouble and expense of a journey to Yelandur &c - I merely give my own ideas. You know

about conformity with the Raja Rules which contemplate one Chaukidar for one charge. Before final orders are passed it is necessary to ascertain whether the villages of these two charges form one block and whether they can be managed by one Chaukidar. The present finances of the villages must also be stated.

Both the Pts and the Bhemanaiya have a charge each registered in their names. It is not reasonable to ask for more. We regret that Sangha, an admittedly incompetent person should be rejected as Bhemanaiya the other being performed by the above mentioned Bhemanaiya is not in accordance with rules. The Raja cannot however be divided between the officials and his other business.

It is not known whether there is a member of this family who has at present no charge and who is competent to discharge the duties of the charge created by

2-3-98 (3)

L 58-74-5

Gen. Serial No. 60.

(5) 1897-98.

6266
Rel 461

From

The Deputy Commissioner Chitaldroog

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Government of Mysore.

General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 22d
Despatched 20th } February 1898.

Received

Enclosures No. 1 with a file of

General No. 816. Rev: Depts
No. 769.

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Submitting a report with records, on the complaint of malpractices of Subadhars in respect of the Shanbhogs of Kondapura Perla & the Holalkere Circle.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Peter
1.3.98

OL 20/97 re the subject as per ref.

AK 2/3/98. Submd to Col Connelly

3/3/98 MG With reference to Col Connelly's (Secret No 41

My 5/3/98

Under the circumstances now represented the Dewan concurs in abandonment of prosecution be in charge Kondapura circle in addition his own duties correspondents may be kept uninterfered with -

22.3.98

28-3-98 Chf

My 26/3/98

Secretary

Dewan

My 28/3/98

81

(General Serial No. 29.)

1895

From The Offg District Judge Mysore

To The General Secretary to Government of Mysore

Dated 26th June 1895.

Despatched 27th June 1895.

Received

Enclosures No. 1 sealed cover

General No. 1107

No. 9

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 15455 of 19th March last D. 936, I have the honor to return the papers received with the above as per list herewith sent.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

N Ramachandra Rao

Offg District Judge

1-7-95

Submitted for orders

2-7-95

Recd. 1/7/95

Fde.
The papers should be carefully
& preserved.
2/7

Examined & found them to be in accordance with the list sent herewith
16/7.

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—13-9-94.—2,902.

No: 816- Ex:
To: Taluk
Dist of Vic: Chitaldroog

Deputy Commissioner &c.
Chitaldroog District

Dated 22nd February
1898, Camp, Kolalkéi

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue
Department.

Sir,

With reference to proceedings of Government No. 2661 dated 7th September 1897 regarding the complaint of Mallayyiyakka of Chitalhalli, Kolalkéi, in respect of the Shanbogi of Kondapura Fasla of the same Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. On reference to the Topographical

tic Suttagee of the Chittanadi and Vis

t=	
ue	
	9
	9
	2
	8
	9
	6
	3
	8
	2
	3
	4
	1
	3
	3
	10
	6
	10
	3
	4
	6
	8
	4
	5

Miscellaneous
Weekly Communications

Statement showing the present Revenue of Firkas and villages in Kalahasti Taluk

Name of Firka	No. of Revenue Village	Name of the Village	Gross Revenue
Chittanahalli	1	Chittanahalli	1957
	2	Kolémur	690
	3	Ekerapalle	267
		Total	2914
Banjagondanahalli	1	Banjagondanahalli	494
	2	Bokkanahalli	2
		Total Rs	496
Srinagapuram	1	Srinagapuram	969
	2	Echagatta	541
	3	Nagargatta	210
	4	Pampapur	150
		Total Rs	1871
Kondapura	1	Kondapura	720
	2	Souripura	362
	3	Kasipura	205
	4	Srimanikapura	128
		Total Rs	1415
Kéré Kandavadi	1	Kéré Kandavadi	940
	2	Basavapura	94
	3	Kammanahalli	135
	4	Lingadahalli	113
	5	Kalleréré	209
		Total	1494

Camp: Kalahasti
22nd February 1898

(7) Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

L2 SS-96-6

Read:

(1) Petition of Appeal No. 453/95-96 of 1894-95 from Malagiriappa of Chitradurga, Molakalmuru Taluk, against the order of the Deputy Commr, Chitradurga District appointing 1st Respt Gangappa to the vacant Talaribagi of Kondapura Fiefs in the Molakalmuru Taluk.

(2) Correspondence ending with letter No. 876/769 & 22-2-98 from the Deputy Commr, Chitradurga Dt, reporting with reference to his Rep No. 264/P.S. 20-97 & 7-7-97, that the villages of the two fiefs are scattered and Kondapura the chief village does not form a complete whole but being near one another may conveniently be managed by one Talariba and that there is no member of the Talariba's

that Yerriappa should continue in office undisturbed and that the deceased's divided brothers should be relegated to a suit in a competent court. The appellant Melgiriappa has appealed to Govt against this order. On a reference from the Dpty Comr reports that there is no [illegible] other contesting claimant for the [illegible] family of the deceased Yerriappa and the circumstances now represented by the Dpty Comr's the appointment of Yerriappa to be in charge of Kondapur circle in addition to his own of Bangajindenahalli may be left uninterfered with.

(173) Yerriappa Memorialist
Dy Comr
Cf 2097 dt 1.4.97

Cz.

for Secretary

Draft 4/4/98
Bbn
2/6/98.

To the Deputy Commr
Chitaldrug District
for information.

6-4-98

Noted
M.J 15-4-98

family who is at present unemployed and competent to perform the duties of a Pandaiyi.

(3) The records of the case from which the facts appear to be as follows:-

The Appt and the Respts are the descended from descendants of a common ancestor by name Govindapa who was the Karalar of Attanji of six fields, & he died leaving five sons the Respts whose undivided the six fields among themselves. At the partition settlement which took place each sharer was made to contribute to the proper discharge of the duties of the office. The Kondajiri fields are subject to the present dispute being enjoyed by the Appellants divided among the Respts as above before the death occurred which took place in January 1876 on whose death in January 1876 it was agreed by all that the Appellants should be succeeded by Govindapa On Melagiriappa's complaint the Dy Comm observed that the descendants of the 3rd & 4th sons of the original ancestor Govindapa had each two fields while the Appellants & the 2nd Respondent who is the descendant of the eldest son had only one small field (divided and that it was neither just nor proper that all the descendants should be equally favoured by fate